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#### Abstract

A mathematical model for Estimation of vehicle fuel consumption on a 100 km interval at standard operating conditions is presented. Vehicle fuel consumption is presented via mathematical modeling for urban operating conditions. Vehicle fuel consumption is calculated separately for four different operating modes: average speed, accelerations, decelerations and idle mode. This approach was chosen due to the fact that a significant part of fuel consumption at urban conditions occurs at idle mode. The efficiency of the engine is expressed as a function of the speed mode of the engine and of the degree of power utilization of the engine. The adequacy and accuracy of the model is verified using experimental calculations. Moreover, it is shown that the effect of various design parameters on vehicle fuel consumption can be studied utilizing the proposed model.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

THE transportation sector accounts for a growing amount of total end-use energy consumption. Therefore, conserving transportation energy and reducing transportation petroleum consumption is an important short-term and longterm goal. Reducing energy consumption can be achieved by creating new, more fuel efficient vehicle models, as well as by operating existing vehicles more efficiently. Both of these require the utilization of some method of fuel consumption estimation.

At present the most widely used methods of fuel consumption estimation are simulations and road tests. However, these can be costly and are not always obtainable. Utilizing mathematical models is simpler and more readily available. Several mathematical models for estimating fuel efficiency are described in literature; however, the adequacy and accuracy of these models have to be improved in order to make them feasible for practical use.

In [1]-[3], energy expenditure is determined on the basis of modeling. However, fuel consumption estimation in the models presented there is based on specific fuel consumption under optimal conditions, and engine and vehicle mode changes are not incorporated in the models. It is assumed that the vehicle is constantly in acceleration mode and that the effective efficiency is constant. Formulas presented in these

[^0]papers, as well as in others similar to them, enable one to evaluate fuel consumption only for specific modes of the car and the engine.

In [3] it is proposed to calculate fuel consumption based on specific hourly fuel consumption and energy expenditure.

In [2], it is proposed to determine energy expenditure based on computer simulation. A special program called ADVISOR is used in order to do this. It gives good results for the qualitative analysis of fuel economy.

In [4], the vehicle fuel consumption and emission rates of environment-adaptive driving with or without inter-vehicle communications are estimated using an autonomous running traffic flow simulator. In this study, a microscopic fuel consumption and emission model is used. Simulation results show that environment-adaptive driving can reduce both the average fuel consumption and vehicle emission. It also shows that inter-vehicle communications can improve these impacts under high vehicle densities and long traffic light cycle times. It is proposed to determine energy expenditure on the basis of statistical modeling.

In [5], the estimation method of fuel consumption from vehicle information through OBD-II is proposed. It was proposed that RPM, TPS had a relationship with fuel consumption. In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method, a 5 km road-test was performed. The results showed that the proposed method can estimate precisely the fuel consumption from vehicle multi-data. It was observed that the proposed models using instantaneous engine RPM, TPS and (RPM, TPS) can predict the fuel consumption quite well.

The authors of [6] propose an innovative analytically based method of calculating corrected fuel consumption of parallel and series hybrid electric vehicles at balanced energy content of the electric storage devices. The proposed analytical method is generally applicable and features highly accurate corrected fuel consumption results. It enables calculation of the corrected fuel consumption based on a single fuel consumption test run in a single analytic post-processing step. An additional fuel consumption test run might be needed to obtain highly accurate results if the ratio of the energy content deviation of the electric storage devices to the energy used for vehicle propulsion over the test cycle is high. The proposed method enables consideration of non-linear energy flow changes and non-linear hybrid electric vehicles component efficiency changes caused by the energy management strategy or by the component characteristics. The method therefore features highly accurate results out of the minimum number of fuel consumption test runs and thus optimizes workload for
development or optimization of hybrid electric vehicles. The input data of the method are characteristic energy flows and efficiencies that are derived from the energy flows on selected energy paths of hybrid electric vehicles.

The paper [7] deals with the fuel consumed by cars for each element of an urban trip by drawing on the results of a survey conducted in Leeds with two instrumented vehicles. Those characteristics of urban car trips which are most likely to influence fuel consumption are identified, and the data obtained from the survey is used to quantify the importance of each element of an urban trip. The fuel consumed during a stop/start maneuver is analyzed, and this is followed by a comparison of the fuel consumption rate estimated when the Leeds results are applied on the standard European driving cycle and the corresponding manufacturer's published data. Finally, the results obtained are used to calibrate two fuel consumption expressions to be used with the output of traffic simulation and assignment models.

Currently, the major set of regulations governing vehicle operating modes for estimating fuel consumption of vehicles are the rules of the UN Economic Commission for Europe [8]. The abovementioned models and formulas for calculating fuel consumption, which do not take into consideration the changes in the mode of motion, are unfit for evaluating fuel consumption in accordance with the accepted regulations. The development of a mathematical model which can be used for this purpose is the contribution of this article.

In the second section of this paper a model for calculating fuel consumption in accordance with UN ECE regulations for urban operating conditions is described. Vehicle fuel consumption is determined separately for four different operating modes: average speed, accelerations, decelerations and at idle mode. The efficiency of the engine is a function of the degree of power utilization and the engine speed mode. We used two correction coefficients in calculating the efficiency of the engine: the coefficient of power utilization and the coefficient of the engine speed.

This approach has allowed us to obtain a universal formula for determining vehicle fuel consumption, which is suitable for practical use.

In the third section of our paper we present the verification of the adequacy and accuracy of the obtained formula. To assess its adequacy and accuracy, we carried out calculations of fuel consumption using our formula and compared the results to experimental data provided by the manufacturers. In order to carry out calculations via the formula, we first identified parameters common for all automobiles. The vehicle-specific parameters that we used were the type of engine, automobile mass, maximum power and the engine speed at maximum power. We compared the results of our calculations to data on modern automobiles manufactured in 2011 by leading automotive firms.

In the fourth section the results of the abovementioned comparison are analyzed. It is concluded that since the discrepancy between the results of our calculations and the experimental data was between $4-5 \%$, the obtained formula provides a sufficiently good approximation. The discrepancy can be partly explained by the fact that since the parameters
for specific types of vehicles do not appear in the literature, we used averaged values for diesel and petrol engines.

## II. EQUATION FOR Estimating Fuel Consumption

The formula for calculating fuel consumption incorporates UN ECE regulations. As in [1, 2], we calculate fuel consumption based on energy expenditure. Energy expenditure is divided into four parts, (Table I, Fig. 1) [8]:

Table I. Parameters for the urban cycles [10]

| Characteristics | Unit | ECE 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Distance | km | $4 \times 1.013=4.052$ |
| Duration | s | $4 \times 195=780$ |
| Average Speed | $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 18.7 (with idling) |
| Maximum Speed | $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 50 |



Fig. 1. Scheme of urban cycle


Fig. 2. Scheme for calculating $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ and $E_{4}$

Table II. Parameters of vehicle motion during the ECE 15 Cycle

| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}$ | time | Acceler <br> ation <br> (Decele <br> ration) | Average <br> speed | Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | m |
| $1 E_{4}$ | 15 | - | - | - |
| $2 E_{2}$ | 5 | 0.78 | 7.0 | 10 |
| $3 E_{1}$ | 10 | - | 14.0 | 50 |
| $4 E_{3}$ | 5 | -0.75 | 7.0 | 15 |
| $5 E_{4}$ | 20 | - | - | - |
| $6 E_{2}$ | 20 | 0.60 | 25.0 | 60 |
| $7 E_{1}$ | 10 | - | 50.0 | 180 |
| $8 E_{3}$ | 20 | -0.60 | 25.0 | 50 |
| $9 E_{4}$ | 15 | - | - | - |
| $10 E_{2}$ | 20 | 0.60 | 25.0 | 175 |
| $11 E_{1}$ | 10 | - | 35.0 | 170 |
| $12 E_{3}$ | 10 | -0.60 | 42.5 | 40 |
| $13 E_{1}$ | 10 | - | 35.0 | 130 |
| $14 E_{3}$ | 20 | -0.60 | 18.0 | 133 |
| $15 E_{4}$ | 5 | - | - | - |

The equation for estimating fuel consumption must take this into account:

1. The energy required for overcoming the forces of resistance at constant average speed,
2. The energy required for movement with accelerations or the energy required for overcoming the resistance of the inertial force,
3. The energy required for movement with decelerations or
braking,
4. The energy expended at idle mode.

In the formula the energy expenditure is expressed as a sum:
$E_{s}=E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3}+E_{4}$.
The equation for fuel consumption takes the form:
$Q_{S(e)}=\frac{E_{s}}{H_{L}}=\frac{E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3}+E_{4}}{H_{L}}$,
where
$E_{1}$ is the energy required for overcoming the forces of resistance at constant average speed,
$E_{2}$ is the energy required for movement with accelerations, or the energy required for overcoming the resistance of the inertial force,
$E_{3}$ is the energy required for movement with decelerations,
$E_{4}$ is the energy expended at idle mode,
$H_{L}$ is the calorific value of one liter of fuel.
The first component $E_{1}$ has the form:
$E_{1}=\frac{1}{\eta_{T} \eta_{P, n}}\left(m_{a} \cdot g \cdot c_{r}+\frac{\rho}{2} \cdot C_{D} \cdot A_{f} \cdot V_{a}^{2}\right) \cdot S$
where
$\eta_{T}$ is the efficiency of the transmission,
$V_{a}$ is the average speed of the vehicle, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$,
$m_{a}$ is car mass, kg ,
$c_{r}$ is the rolling resistance coefficient,
$C_{D}$ is the coefficient of aerodynamic resistance of the car,
$A_{f}$ is the characteristic area of the car, $m^{2}$.
The values of parameters $c_{r}$ and $A_{f}$ are determined by empirical equations [9]:
$c_{r}=0.0136+0.40 \cdot 10^{-7} V_{a}^{2}$,
$A_{f}=1.6+0.00056\left(m_{a}-765\right)$.
$S$ is the car mileage, which equals 100000 m , i.e. 100 km ,
$\eta_{P, n}$ is the efficiency of the engine, which depends on the peak efficiency of the engine, degree of power utilization and the engine speed mode in the following way:
$\eta_{P, n}=\eta_{e} \mu_{P} \mu_{n}$,
where
$\eta_{e}$ is the engine's peak efficiency,
$\mu_{P}$ is the coefficient through which the influence of the degree of power utilization (the part-load) on the peak efficiency of the engine is expressed,
$\mu_{n}$ is the coefficient through which the influence of engine speed mode on the peak efficiency of the engine is expressed.

Unlike formula (1), in which the efficiency (or $g_{e}$, the specific fuel consumption) of the engine is assumed to be constant, in our formula it is variable and a function of the peak efficiency of the engine at optimal mode $\eta_{e}$, the degree of power utilization and engine speed mode. Variable efficiency of diesel engines (with the peak efficiency $\left.\eta_{e}=0.40, g_{e}=206, \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kWh}, \quad[10]\right)$, or gasoline engines (with the peak efficiency $\eta_{e}=0.30, g_{e}=275, \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kWh}$, [10]), determines the actual fuel consumption in regular driving conditions and is smaller (on average 0.30 for diesel and 0.25 for gasoline engines) (Fig. 3), [10].


Fig. 3. Variable fuel consumption of diesel engines
Diesel engine's peak efficiency [10] $\quad \eta_{e}=1 /\left(0.0119531 g_{e}\right)$ $\sim 0.40$
Gasoline engine's peak efficiency [10] $\eta_{e}=1 /(0.0122225$ $\left.g_{e}\right) \sim 0.30$

To include the effect of the degree of power utilization and of the engine speed mode on the efficiency of the engine, we have introduced the correction coefficients $\mu_{P}$ and $\mu_{n}$, respectively.
In order to obtain functions $\mu_{P}$ and $\mu_{n}$, the dependences $\mu_{P}=f\left(P_{i} / P_{e}\right)$ and $\mu_{n}=f\left(n_{i} / n_{N}\right)$ were analyzed for a number of modern gasoline and diesel engines, information about which is available in the literature. As a result of the data analysis [11] - [15], the following graphic dependences (Fig. $4,5,6)$ were obtained.


Fig. 4. Coefficient of the engine speed mode (same for diesel and gasoline engines)


Fig. 5. Coefficient of power utilization for gasoline engines


Fig. 6. Coefficient of power utilization for diesel engines

These graphical relationships were approximated and the following results were obtained:
a formula for calculating $\mu_{P}$ for diesel engines:
$\mu_{P}=0.2688 \ln \left(\frac{P_{i}}{P_{e}}\right)+1.0546$,
a formula for calculating $\mu_{P}$ for gasoline engines:
$\mu_{P}=0.3232 \ln \left(\frac{P_{i}}{P_{e}}\right)+1.0118$,
and a formula for calculating $\mu_{n}$ for diesel and gasoline engines:
$\mu_{n}=0.1055 \ln \left(\frac{n_{i}}{n_{P}}\right)+1.0446$,
where
$P_{i}$ is the engine power required for the given mode ( $P$ ) of motion,
$P_{e}$ is the engine power by the performance characteristics of the engines, corresponding to vehicle speed $V_{a_{i}}$,
$n_{P}$ is the engine speed at maximum power of engine, $\min ^{-1}$,
$n_{i}$ is the engine speed at average speed of vehicle, $V_{a_{i}}$, $\min ^{-1}$.
Here $P_{e}$ and $n_{i}$ are calculated via the formulas given in [3, 16].

According to the definition
$\frac{P_{i}}{P_{e}}=\frac{\left(m_{a} g c_{r}+0.5 c_{D} A_{f} V_{a}^{2}+m_{a} a \gamma_{m}\right) V_{a}}{P_{e}}$.
Here the numerator is the engine power required for the given mode of motion, and the denominator is the engine power by the performance characteristics of the engine for the corresponding vehicle speed (Fig. 3.). It is a function of engine speed and maximum engine power and is determined by the empirical formula $[3,16]$.
$P_{e}=P_{\max }\left[a\left(\frac{n}{n_{P}}\right)+b\left(\frac{n}{n_{P}}\right)^{2}-c\left(\frac{n}{n_{P}}\right)^{3}\right]$
where
$P_{\max }$ is the engine's maximum power, kW ,
$a, b, c$ are the polynomial coefficients, different for different types of engines (see Table III).

Table III. Polynomial coefficients [3]:

| Gasoline <br> engine | Diesel <br> engine | Coefficients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | a |
| 1.0 | 0.5 | b |
| 1.0 | 0.5 | c |

$n_{P}$ is the engine speed at maximum power of engine, $\min ^{-1}$,
$n_{i}$ is the engine speed at average speed of vehicle, $V_{a}$,
$\min ^{-1}$.
The formula for determining it has the following form
$n=\frac{9.55 V_{a} \xi_{a x} \xi_{n}}{r_{d}}$,
where
$r_{d}$ is the rolling radius of the tire, $m$,
$\xi_{a x}$ is the finale drive gear ratio,
$\xi_{n}$ is gear ratio in the gearbox,
$\rho$ is air density, $N \cdot s^{2} / m^{4}$,
$g$ is the acceleration of gravity, $m / s^{2}$.
The energy required for motion with accelerations is calculated via the following formula:
$E_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \frac{S_{j}}{\eta_{P n j}}\left[\left(m_{a} g f_{r l}+\frac{\rho}{2} \cdot C_{D} A_{f} V_{a_{j}}^{2}\right)+q m_{a} a_{j} \gamma_{m j}\right]$
where
$\gamma_{m_{j}}$ is the mass factor of the vehicle,
$a_{j}$ is the acceleration of the vehicle, $m / s^{2}$.
$S_{j}$ is the acceleration distance of the vehicle, $m$.
$\sigma$ is the number of acceleration intervals,
$q$ is the number of accelerations in each acceleration interval.

The energy $E_{3}$ is the energy expended during deceleration or braking by engine. It is known that for diesel engines, gasoline engines with injection of fuel, and carbureted engines with economizer of forced idle mode, it is equal to zero [14][18], and because most modern car engines belong to the above listed groups, we assume that $E_{3}=0$.

The energy $E_{4}$ is the energy expenditure at idle mode or fuel consumption at time of idling mode of the engine. The following empiric formula is used for its calculation in the publications [19]:
$Q_{i, m .}=0.55 \cdot 10^{-6} V_{h} n_{i, m} t$
where
$V_{h}$ is engine displacement, $l$,
$n_{i . m \text {. }}$ is engine speed at time of idling mode,
$t$ is time of idling mode, $h$.
For its calculation we propose the following formula:
$E_{4}=\frac{n_{\text {imm }}}{60} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\rho_{a}}{A F R_{\text {teor }}} V_{h} \varphi \frac{1}{\rho_{f}} H_{L} t, j$,
where
$\rho_{a}$ is the air-fuel mixture density at time of idling mode of the engine, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{l}$,
$H_{L}$ is the calorific value of one kilogram of fuel, $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{kg}$, $\varphi$ is the filling ratio by air-fuel mix at time of idling mode ( $\varphi<1$ ).
$A F R_{\text {teor }}$ is the air-fuel ratio. It is the ratio between the mass of air and the mass of fuel in the fuel-air mix [16]:

$$
A F R_{\text {teor }}=\frac{m_{\text {air (teor })}}{m_{\text {fuel(teor) }}}=\frac{14.7 \mathrm{~kg}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}=14.7
$$

where
$m_{\text {air(teor })}$ is the mass of air theoretically required for complete combustion of 1 kilogram of fuel, which is equal to 14.7 kilograms,
$m_{\text {fuel (teor) }}$ is the mass of fuel which is equal to one kilogram in a theoretically normal mixture.

At time of idling mode for gasoline engines $m_{\text {air }}<14.7 \mathrm{~kg}$ for combustion of 1 kilogram of fuel, and for diesel engines $m_{\text {air }}>14.7 \mathrm{~kg}$
To estimate the composition of the mixture at different operating modes of the engine, the following lambda coefficient is used:

$$
\lambda=\frac{A F R_{i}}{A F R_{\text {teor }}}
$$

where
$A F R_{i}$ is the air-fuel ratio for the given engine mode. Thus, for idling mode for gasoline engines $A F R_{i}<14.7$, while for diesel engines $A F R_{i}>14.7$, and therefore, we obtain that for gasoline engines ( $\lambda<1.0$ ) and for diesel engines ( $\lambda>1.0$ ).

The total energy required for driving 100 kilometers is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{S}=\frac{1}{\eta_{T}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{S_{i}}{\eta_{(P, n)_{i}}}\left(m_{a} \cdot g \cdot f_{r l}+\frac{\rho}{2} \cdot C_{D} \cdot A_{f} \cdot V_{a_{i}}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \frac{S_{j}}{\eta_{(P, n) j}}\left[\left(m_{a} g f_{r l}+\frac{\rho}{2} \cdot C_{D} A_{f} V_{a_{j}}^{2}\right)+q m_{a} a_{j} \gamma_{m j}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{n_{i, m .}}{60} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\rho_{a}}{A F R_{\text {teor }}} V_{h} \varphi H_{L} t
\end{aligned}
$$

When we substitute $E_{S}$, the equation for fuel consumption defined by energy expenditure takes the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{S(e)}=\frac{1}{\eta_{T} H_{L}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{S_{i}}{\eta_{(P, n)_{i}}}\left(m_{a} \cdot g \cdot f_{r l}+\frac{\rho}{2} \cdot C_{D} \cdot A_{f} \cdot V_{a_{i}}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \frac{S_{j}}{\eta_{(P, n) j}}\left[\left(m_{a} g f_{r l}+\frac{\rho}{2} \cdot C_{D} A_{f} V_{a_{j}}^{2}\right)+q m_{a} a_{j} \gamma_{m j}\right]\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{n_{i, m .}}{60} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\rho_{a}}{A F R_{\text {teor }}} V_{h} \varphi \frac{1}{\rho_{f}} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## III. Verification of the Formula Based on Experimental Calculations

To assess the validity of the formula which we obtained and the feasibility of conclusions based on it, we carried out calculations of fuel consumption via the formula and compared the results to experimental data available from manufacturers [17].

In order to carry out calculations via the formula, we first identified vehicle motion parameters at average speed and during accelerations according to the ECE 15 cycles, which are given in Tables IV and V:

Table IV. Parameters of vehicle motion at average speed according to the ECE 15 cycle

| Vehicle motion <br> parameters at <br> average speed | Average speed |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 14.0 | 32.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 |
| Distance, $\mathrm{m}, S_{i}$ | 45.0 | 210.0 | 175.0 | 125.0 |
| Duration of <br> motion, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}$ | 12.5 | 22.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 |

Table V. Parameters of vehicle motion during accelerations according to the ECE 15 cycle

| Vehicle <br> motion <br> parameters <br> during <br> acceleration | Acceleration from speed to <br> speed, $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $0-$ <br> 14.0 | $0-32.0$ | $0-$ <br> 50.0 |
| Acceleration <br> time, t, s | 5.0 | 12.0 | 23.0 |
| Average <br> Acceleration, <br> $a_{i}$ | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.60 |
| m/s2, |  |  |  |

In addition we also identified parameters common for all automobiles, which are given in Tables VI and VII:

Table VI. Common parameters for all automobiles

| Type of Engine | $P_{e}, k W$ | $n_{P}, \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel | 100 | 4500 |
| Gasoline | 100 | 6000 |
| Type <br> of Engine | $\xi_{n_{i}}$ | $c_{d}, N \cdot s^{2} / m$ |
| Diesel | $1.0-3.5$ | 0.30 |
| Gasoline | $1.0-3.5$ | 0.30 |

Table VII. Common parameters for all automobiles

| Type of <br> Engine | $\eta_{e}$ | $\eta_{T}$ | $\xi_{a x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel | 0.40 | 0.95 | 3.5 |
| Gasoline | 0.30 | 0.95 | 3.5 |
| Type <br> of Engine | $\gamma_{m_{i}}$ | $A_{f}, m^{2}$ | $c_{r}$ |
| Diesel | $1.06-1.12$ | 1.8 | 0.015 |
| Gasoline | $1.06-1.12$ | 1.8 | 0.015 |

The calculation results are summarized in Table VIII.
Table VIII. Fuel consumption rates of different vehicles based on experimental data vs. the results obtained using the formula

| Vehicle | Fuel consumption <br> based on <br> experimental data | Fuel <br> consumption by <br> formula |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volkswagen <br> Polo Sedan | 7.7 | 7.4 |
| Toyota Yaris | 7.8 | 8.2 |
| Toyota Sienna <br> AWD | 14.7 | 15.5 |
| Toyota Camry <br> AWD3.5 | 10.6 | 10.7 |


| Hyundai <br> Genesis Coupé <br> 2.0 T | 10.2 | 10.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table IX. Parameters of different vehicles from Table VIII

|  | Technical Specifications |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle | Mass, <br> kg, <br> Max. <br> Power, <br> kW | rpm |  |
| Volkswagen <br> Polo Sedan | 1106 | 62.6 | 5000 |
| Toyota Yaris | 1005 | 73.1 | 6000 |
| Toyota Sienna <br> AWD | 2080 | 197.6 | 6000 |
| Toyota Camry <br> AWD3.5 | 1570 | 196.9 | 6000 |
| Hyundai <br> Genesis Coupé <br> 2.0 T | 1570 | 157.3 | 6000 |

## IV. Concluding Remark

Comparison of the data presented in the Table 4 and similar data available in literature [17], suggests that the obtained formula reflects general trends in the influence of design and performances parameters on fuel consumption.

The discrepancy between the results of our calculations and the experimental data was between $4-5 \%$, which indicates that the obtained formula provides a sufficiently good approximation. The abovementioned discrepancy can be partly explained by the fact that since the parameters $\eta_{e}$ and $\eta_{T}$ for specific types of vehicles do not appear in the literature, we used averaged values for diesel and petrol engines.

Based on the above comparison we concluded that the obtained formula is sufficiently accurate and fit for evaluating fuel consumption.
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