# Ventilating with room units in educational institutions

Allan Hani, Teet-Andrus Kõiv and Alo Mikola

Department of Environmental Engineering Tallinn University of Technology Ehitajate tee 5, 19086 ESTONIA allan.hani@rkas.ee, teet.koiv@ttu.ee http://www.ttu.ee

**Abstract**— In current article the results of the research about indoor climate in educational institutions are presented. The study is mostly concentrated on the research of different ventilation systems and carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) levels.  $CO_2$  is one of the most important indicators about air quality in buildings. The correlation between air change rate and  $CO_2$  levels in certain rooms is already well proven fact. European Standard EN-15251 about indoor climate conditions requirements gives the basis for new and renovated buildings. The renovation process in reality can either improve or deteriorate the indoor climate. The success lays in the renovation measures used. Demand based ventilation gives better energy conservation possibilities. Therefore, room based ventilation solutions are studied. The research results show carbon dioxide levels in educational institutions with different ventilation systems.

*Keywords*— Carbon dioxide concentration, room unit based ventilation,  $CO_2$  concentration analysis, air change rates,  $CO_2$  in educational buildings, naturally ventilated buildings, mechanically ventilated buildings.

# I. INTRODUCTION

The most cited literature on indoor climate available in the whole world does not indicate only the air change rates, but also describes the maximally permitted  $CO_2$  concentrations in buildings. Currently the air change rates are mostly fixed values which do not take into consideration the parameters of the external air pollution. The European indoor climate normative EN15251:2007 [1] takes into account different allowed  $CO_2$  levels depending on the external air  $CO_2$  concentration. but the air change rates are still constant. Table 1 presents the  $CO_2$  values according to the EN15251.

Table 1. $CO_2$	levels ac	cording to	the E	N1525	;1
-----------------	-----------	------------	-------	-------	----

Category	Respective CO <sub>2</sub> level exceeding external air concentration in ppm for energy calculations		
т	250		
1	350		
II	500		
III	800		
	000		
IV	> 800		

According to regulations in Estonia enforced by the Ministry of Social Affairs the maximum allowed  $CO_2$  concentration in schools is 1000 ppm [2]. In most cases this figure is not achievable without bigger investments and heavier life cycle costs made to ventilation systems. Medical investigations have discovered diseases, headache and lethargy in patients in case the  $CO_2$  concentrations exceed 10 000 ppm. A visually summarizing graph [3] is presented as follows:



Fig.1. Symptoms of carbon dioxide.

Studies in Europe refer also to the huge problems related to  $CO_2$  concentrations in schools. The studies carried out In Dutch schools show that in some cases the  $CO_2$  level is more than 5000 PPM at the end of a class. 7 schools had  $CO_2$  levels between 1500 – 3700 PPM [4]. A comprehensive study of 141 schools [5] gives the following results:

Study	No. schools	CO <sub>2</sub> levels ppm	
		Average	Range
1984	11	1000	500-1500
1990	6	1290	950-1950
1995	6	1320	700-2700
1997	96	990	425-2800
2004	5	1220	480-2400
2004	11	1580	450-4700
2005	6	1355	550-3000

Table 2. Dutch studies: CO<sub>2</sub> levels.

A study in the United Kingdom (UK) in 2 different classrooms shows the average  $CO_2$  concentration 1638 ppm and 2086 ppm by the outdoor concentration of 593-709 ppm [6]. Table 3 presents the weekly average results of the study in the UK primary school in 2001.

Table 3. UK studies: CO<sub>2</sub> levels.

School	CO <sub>2</sub> levels PPM
	Range
1	200-1300
2	300-2000
3	300-3500
4	310-2830

In the UK studies in most cases the  $CO_2$  range during occupation of the classrooms was above 1000 ppm.

The overall air quality in educational buildings is usually worse than the normative allow. A similar situation can also be found in Estonian schools.

In Estonia the internal climate in educational buildings has been studied and analyzed in different investigations [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

A comprehensive theoretical analysis of the air change rate and  $CO_2$  levels generated by occupants and level prediction possibilities with simulation calculations is given in the Building and Environment Journal [16].

An interesting study of natural ventilation possibilities in schools has been carried out in the UK [17]. The results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Natural ventilation: CO<sub>2</sub> levels during 8:40-15:00.

Weekday	CO <sub>2</sub> levels PPM		
	Average	Range	
Monday	1504	601-2804	
Tuesday	1303	489-2718	
Wednesday	735	296-1770	
Thursday	691	341-1940	
Friday	1208	345-2608	

The figures are promising but in reality they are achieved by opening the windows. There are also several other parameters of indoor climate that affect the indoor air quality and thermal comfort negatively. Therefore this solution should not be recommended for educational buildings. It is also necessary to carry out a study throughout a year. Furthermore, the Sick Building Syndrome (SBS) caused by insufficient air change is very dangerous in children's institutions [18, 19, 20].

It is commonly known that the indoor climate and the  $CO_2$  levels depend on the type of ventilation and its functioning [21], Table 5.

Table 5.  $CO_2$  levels for different types of ventilation

Room	CO <sub>2</sub> levels ppm		
No	Average	Max	Vent. type
1.1	960	1857	Natural
1.2	1054	1725	Natural
2.1	789	1047	Mechanical
2.2	733	880	Mechanical
3.1	853	1472	Hybrid
3.2	1100	1615	Mechanical
4.1	1801	4016	Natural
4.2	1255	2676	Natural
5.1	1536	3181	Natural
5.2	2636	5567	Natural
6.1	1185	2570	Natural
6.2	1391	2585	Natural
7.1	1972	2530	Natural
7.2	1778	3109	Natural
8.1	932	2578	Natural
8.2	1031	2488	Natural
9.1	1695	3359	Natural
9.2	1199	2590	Natural

#### II. METHODOLOGY

In theory there is a well proven formula for estimating the  $CO_2$  concentration in indoor conditions [7, 15] with natural ventilation. Suppose that the initial carbon dioxide concentration in the air of a classroom before the beginning of the class is  $C_0$ . As the class starts, carbon dioxide begins to generate intensively. The air change in the classroom is relatively low. The distribution of temperature in classrooms is uniform (conditions are isothermal), supply and exhaust airflows are equal. The carbon dioxide concentration in the inflow air is  $C_v$  and in the outflow air C (distribution of carbon dioxide in classrooms is uniform). We can write the balance equation

$$m \cdot d\tau + L \cdot C_{\nu} \cdot d\tau - L \cdot C \cdot d\tau - V \cdot dC = 0$$
(1)

From equation (1)

$$dC = -d\left(\frac{m}{L} + C_{\nu} - C\right) \tag{2}$$

By integration of equation (1)

$$\frac{L}{V} \cdot \tau = -\ln \frac{\frac{m}{L} + C_v - C}{\frac{m}{L} + C_v - C_o}$$

where

m - carbon dioxide generation in classroom,

L - air change in classroom,

V - volume of room,

- C<sub>v</sub> carbon dioxide concentration in external air (in supply air),
- C carbon dioxide concentration in classroom air (in exhaust air),
- C<sub>o</sub>- carbon dioxide concentration in the air of the classroom at the beginning of the class,

 $\tau$  - time.

From equation (3) we can express the basic equation for carbon dioxide concentration C at the time moment  $\tau$ 

$$C = C_{v} + \frac{m}{L} - \left(C_{v} + \frac{m}{L} - C_{o}\right) \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{L}{V}\cdot\tau}\right)$$
(4)

By carbon dioxide concentration (in external air, in classroom air at the beginning of a class and in classroom air at the time moment of  $\tau$ ), carbon dioxide generation rate in classroom and parameters of room, by formula (3 or 4) it is possible to determine the air change in a room.

Fig.2 presents a theoretical rise in carbon dioxide during a 45 minute class (outside air 400 ppm) in a normal Estonian school with classroom volume about 240 m<sup>3</sup>) and 25 students.



Fig.2. Calculated change in  $CO_2$  concentration in typical Estonian classroom (natural ventilation).

The normative [2] defines 1000 ppm as a maximum level for  $CO_2$  concentration in classrooms. Not acceptable conditions will be reached during first 15 minutes of the class.

Nevertheless, full ventilation renovation programs are not affordable for all Estonian schools. Furthermore, there are technical difficulties to build balanced centralized ventilation systems due to lack of space for air handling unit (AHU) rooms and especially for ventilation ducts. There is also a problem with energy consumption of the centralized units as not all the classrooms are used simultaneously. Therefore the current research studies the possibilities of local ventilation room units with heat recovery.

# III. EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

The case study was made in three different classrooms in a school located near Tallinn.

All classrooms had a physical volume of 240m<sup>3</sup>.



Fig.3. Logger position in classroom.



Fig.4. Room units locations.

Parameters of the natural ventilation, room units and regular ventilation are presented in Table 6.

(3)

-	<u> </u>		
No	Ventilation system	CO <sub>2</sub> rise,	Air change
		ppm	l/s person
1	Natural ventilation	1091	1.1
2	Room unit (M-WRG) 2 <sup>nd</sup> speed	339	3.6
3	Room unit (M-WRG) 3 <sup>rd</sup> speed	377	4.3
4	Room unit (M-WRG) 4 <sup>th</sup> speed	500	5.7
5	Room unit (M-WRG) 5 <sup>th</sup> speed	43	6.0
7	Regular supply and exhaust vent. 1 <sup>st</sup> speed *	161	8.8

<b>m</b> 11 <i>c</i> + ·			0.00	
Table 6. Air	change	and rise	of $CO_2$	concentration

\* 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> speed exceeded allowed sound levels.

M-WRG unit installation overview and technical parameters are presented as follows:



Fig.5. M-WRG installation principle.

- Air volumes 15 100 m<sup>3</sup>/h per unit;
- Crossflow plate heat exchanger with heat recovery ca 75%;
- Electrical heating 3,8 34 W;
- Air filtering G4;
- Sound level 15,5 46,5 dB(A)
- EC-DC fans;
- Frost protection;
- Condensate removal to outdoor.



Fig.6. Contence of M-WRG unit.

The results of the measurements in classrooms with natural ventilation are presented in Fig.7 and Fig.8.



Fig.7. Measured  $CO_2$  concentration change in classroom (natural ventilation).



Fig.8. Measured  $CO_2$  concentration change in classroom (natural ventilation).

Overview of Fig.7 and Fig.8 shows rapid  $\rm CO_2$  concentrations rising during the first class and there is a

remarkable correlation with Fig.2 that presents the calculation results.

Other measurements were carried out in a classroom with mechanical supply and exhaust ventilation system. These systems usually give good air change results in case they are designed, built and balanced properly. In most cases problems appear with unbalanced systems or not properly completed balancing of ventilation system. The  $CO_2$  measurement results can be found in figures Fig.9 and Fig.10.



Fig.9. Measured change in  $CO_2$  concentration in a classroom with a mechanical centralized ventilation





Carbon dioxide levels in the previous figures still exceed the normative of 1000 ppm during the school-day several times. EN15251:2007 III category can be averagely achieved.

#### VENTILATING WITH ROOM UNITS

Five M-WRG room units are installed below the windows in one of the classrooms.

Class start as also in previous cases at 8:00.

Children usually appear 15 minutes earlier.

Three  $CO_2$  data loggers are spread into classroom as follows:

- in front of the board;
- next to the external wall (near the windows);
- next to the internal wall (near to corridor).

Some deviations in measurements can appear due to teachers' activity with tuning the M-WRG units' speeds to lower level during the class.

Figures 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 present the measurement results in correlation with number of students.



Fig.11. Measured change in  $CO_2$  concentration in a classroom (ventilation with room units)



Fig.12. Measured change in  $CO_2$  concentration in a classroom (ventilation with room units).



Fig.13. Measured change in  $CO_2$  concentration in a classroom (ventilation with room units).







Fig.15. Measured change in the  $CO_2$  concentration in a classroom (ventilation with room units) at the lowest speed

Fig. 16 presents a comparison of the changes in the  $CO_2$  concentration with different ventilation systems based on the research.



Fig.16. Indoor air CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in observed ventilation systems.

The cumulative duration figures present indoor carbon dioxide levels with room unit based ventilation. Different measurement periods are added.



Fig.17. Indoor air  $CO_2$  concentration duration graph in room 1.



Fig.18. Indoor air  $CO_2$  concentration duration graph in room 2.

634



Fig.19. Indoor air  $CO_2$  concentration duration graph in room 3.

Averagely 80% of the measured period satisfies the 1000 ppm normative. It is recommendable to add one more room based unit to one classroom to lower the carbon dioxide concentration and units sound level.

## IV. CONCLUSION

Problems with indoor climate in educational institutions are well known in all countries. The carbon dioxide concentration is a problem not only in older schools, but also in new or lately renovated schools. Concentrations higher than 1500 ppm are commonly measured. In some cases 4000 – 5000 ppm has been measured.

The normatives about the carbon dioxide concentration in indoor air are quite strict - not more than 1000 ppm is generally allowed. So there is a conflict between the real situation (based on measurements) and health protection regulations. The studies show that the  $CO_2$  concentrations higher than 10 000 ppm in room air cause various health problems. High  $CO_2$  concentrations decrease the students' productivity. As children are more sensitive than adults the strict normatives are well justified.

The present study shows the dynamics of changes in the  $CO_2$  concentration. The investigation includes both theoretical and practical approach. Also different ventilation solutions are compared:

- natural ventilation;
- centralized balanced ventilation;
- ventilation with room heat recovery units

Mostly is focused to the possibility to use room based ventilation units with heat recovery.

A rise in the  $CO_2$  concentration with natural ventilation is in remarkable correlation with calculation results (Fig.1, Fig.2 and Fig.3). After 15 minutes of the normal class usage the indoor climate with natural ventilation is not acceptable. There is a slight decrease in the  $CO_2$  concentration during the break. The air change rate inside the classrooms can be estimated with the formula presented in methodology. Therefore separately air volumes are not presented.

Centralized mechanical ventilation gives generally good results to ensure the proper indoor climate, but there can also be problems. Energy consumption will be high if the classrooms usage profiles are not taken into consideration. Also the balancing of the centralized systems in many cases have not been done properly. It is also possible to build complicated VAV systems, but in reality these systems are noisy, expensive and hard to maintain.

Ventilation based on room units is flexible and energy efficient. The main shortcomings are higher noise levels at some speeds (must be taken into account in the design process) and human interference into the adjustment of the fan speeds. The best solutions would be control of the units with  $CO_2$  sensors. It is necessary to add one unit to each classroom to satisfy the 1000 ppm requirement. In the city centre more attention has to be paid to outdoor carbon dioxide level, because it is an important aspect for planning the ventilation.

The price level of the small room ventilation units is getting more and more affordable to the different consumers. In addition, the installation does not need room consuming ducting installations. Therefore the solution can be recommended as a ventilation renovation measure. Further research into room-unit-based ventilation systems in educational buildings is be recommended.

## REFERENCES

[1] Standard EVS-EN15251:2007.

[2] "Health-protection Ordinance for Educational Institutions", Ministry of Social Affairs in Estonia.

[3] Wikipedia

[4] Hens Hugo S.L.C., De Meulenaer, *Schools: All problem buildings?*, Proceedings of Clima 2007 WellBeing Indoors, 2007.

[5] Wim Zeiler, Gert Boxem, Ventilation of Dutch schools; an integral approach to improve design., Proceedings of Clima 2007 WellBeing Indoors, 2007.

[6] Zs. Bako-Biro, N. Kochhar, D.J. Clements-Croome, H.B.Awbi and M. Williams, *Ventilation in Schools and Learning Performance.*, Proceedings of Clima 2007 WellBeing Indoors, 2007.

[7] T. A. Koiv, M. Rebane, P. Parre, *Indoor climate and improvement possibilities in educational premises.*, Proceedings of Clima 2007 WellBeing Indoors, 2007.

[8] T. A. Koiv, *Indoor climate and ventilation in Talllinn school buildings.*, Proceedings of Estonian Academy of Sciences. Engineering, 13(1), 17-25, 2007.

[9] T. A. Koiv, *Indoor climate and energy efficiency of apartment and educational buildings in Estonia.*, Proceeding of 3<sup>rd</sup> WSEAS International Conference on Engineering Mechanics, Structures, Engineering Geology, Corfu Island, Greece, July 22-24, 2010, 196-199. WSEAS.

[10] T. A. Koiv, H. Voll, M. Rebane, *Indoor climate in educational and residential buildings.*, Journal of Chonqqing University (English edition), December 08, 2009, 35-38.

[11] T. A. Koiv, H. Voll, K. Kuusk, A. Mikola, *Indoor Climate and Energy Consumption in residential buildings.*, Proceeding of 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Energy and Development-Environment-Biomedicine, WSEAS Press 2009, 82-86.

[12] T. A. Koiv, H. Voll, K. Kuusk, A. Mikola, *Indoor Climate and Energy Consumption in residential buildings.*, Proceeding of 3<sup>rd</sup>

International Conference on Energy and Development-Environment-Biomedicine, WSEAS Press 2009, 82-86.

[13] A. Hani, T. Kalamees, T. A. Koiv, *Determination of energy performance requirements for apartment buildings in Estonia*, Proceeding of Healthy Buildings, Syracuse NY, 2009, Paper 409.

[14] T. A. Koiv, H. Voll, M. Rebane, *Indoor climate in educational and residential buildings.*, International Conference on Sustainable Development in Building and Environment, Chonqquing, 2009, 2.

[15] P. Tint, Ü. Kask, T.A. Koiv, T. Vinnal, K. Reinhold, *Improvement of environmental and health issues in educational institutions.*, Safety and Reliability for Managing Risks, Estoril, Portugal, 18-22 September 2006, 835-840.

[16] T. Lu, A. Knuutila, M. Viljanen, X. Lu, A novel methodology for estimating space air change rates and occupant  $CO_2$  generation rates from measurements in mechanically ventilated buildings., Journal Building and Environment 45, 2010, 1161-1172.

[17] M. Griffits, M. Eftekhari, *Control of CO<sub>2</sub> in naturally ventilated glassroom.*, Journal Energy and Buildings 40, 2008, 556-560

[18] K. Andersson, *Epidemiological approach to indoor air problems.*, Indoor Air, 1998/4, 32-9

[19] H. B. Awbi, AJ. Pay, *A study of the air quality in glassroom.*, Proceeding of 2<sup>nd</sup> international conference on indoor air quality, ventilation and energy conservation in buildings, Montreal Canada 1995

[20] DJ. Clements-Croome, Creating the productive workplace., Spon-Rouledge, 2000

[21] D. Mumovic, J. Palmer, M. Davies, M. Orme, I. Ridley, T. Oreszczyn, C. Judd, R. Critchlow, H. A. Medina, G. Pilmoor, C. Pearson, P. Way, *Winter indoor air quality, thermal comfort and acoustic performance of newly built secondary schools in England* Journal Building and Environment 44, 2009, 1466-1477